

AUDIT AND MANAGEMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT OVERTOWN TRANSIT VILLAGE

701 NW 1ST COURT – SUITE 8-175 MIAMI, FLORIDA 33136

TELEPHONE: 786-469-5900 FAX: 786-469-5933

May 28, 2019

Mr. Edward Silva Village Manager Village of Palmetto Bay 9705 East Hibiscus Street Palmetto Bay, FL 33157

Re: Final Audit Report – Charter County Transportation System Surtax Review – Village of Palmetto Bay

Dear Mr. Silva:

Attached is the above-referenced Final Audit Report together with the Village of Palmetto Bay's Response. However, the Office of the Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust (OCITT) may contact you to resolve items meriting further attention.

We appreciate the courtesies and assistance extended to our staff during the audit process. Please contact G. Nancy McKee, Assistant Director, at (786) 469-5900, should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Cathy Jachson
Cathy Jackson

Director

CJ:bm

Attachment

c: Javier A. Betancourt, Executive Director, OCITT Desmond Chin, Finance Director, Village of Palmetto Bay

Memorandum COUNTY

Date:

May 28, 2019

To:

Javier A. Betancourt, Executive Director

Office of the Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust (OCITT)

From:

Cathy Jackson, Director

Audit and Management Services Department

Subject:

Final Audit Report – Charter County Transportation System Surtax Review –

Village of Palmetto Bay

PURPOSE AND SCOPE

We performed a review of the Village of Palmetto Bay's (Village) use of Charter County Transportation System Surtax (Surtax) Proceeds remitted by Miami-Dade County (County) for the three years ended September 30, 2018. The primary objective was to ensure Surtax Proceeds were used in compliance with the *Interlocal Agreement for Distribution, Use and Reporting of Charter County Transit System Surtax Proceeds Levied by Miami-Dade County* (Interlocal Agreement) executed on July 10, 2007. Additionally, we assessed resolution of prior audit findings referenced in our Report dated November 30, 2016 (Exhibit I).

BACKGROUND

County Ordinance No. 02-116, enacted on July 9, 2002, imposed a one-half of one percent Surtax on eligible sales transactions for Transportation-related projects. However, at least 20% of the Surtax Proceeds received by the County must be distributed to municipalities incorporated as of November 5, 2002, on a pro-rata basis using population statistics (Schedule IV). The Surtax Program is administered by the Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust (CITT), a group comprised of 15 members appointed by the Board of County Commissioners, County Mayor, and Miami-Dade League of Cities.

Surtax monies may be used to develop, construct, equip, maintain, operate, or expand Countywide bus systems, fixed guideway rapid transit systems, roads and bridges, as well as secure such bonds or pay debt service. Further, the Village must apply at least 20% of the Surtax Proceeds to Transit-related projects, such as circulator buses, bus shelters, bus pullout bays, or other related infrastructure.

CITT Resolution No. 09-055, adopted July 30, 2009, allows for the rollover of unspent Surtax funds for up to five years, provided the municipality's Five-Year Transportation Plan demonstrates how the funds will be used. Additionally, CITT Resolution No. 15-027, adopted May 20, 2015, allows for carryover credits in the event amounts expended are in excess of annual Surtax allocations.

SUMMARY RESULTS

For the three years ended September 30, 2018, the Village received \$3 million in Surtax Proceeds and other revenues, and claimed Transit and Transportation-related expenditures totaling \$3.1 million (Table I). The Village had no budgeted Fiscal Year (FY) 2002 General Fund transportation support or Maintenance of Effort (MOE), as the municipality was incorporated on September 10, 2002.

Table I Surtax Statistics

	Fiscal Y	ear Ended Septe	ember 30,	
Description	2016	2017	2018	All Years
Revenue (Schedule I):				
Surtax Proceeds	\$ 941,265	\$ 961,500	\$ 1,022,032	\$ 2,924,797
Interest Income	11,233	10,193	11,380	32,806
	\$ 952,498	\$ 971,693	\$ 1,033,412	\$ 2,957,603
Claimed Expenditures:				
Transit (Table II)	\$ 121,139	\$ 224,826	\$ 138,312	\$ 484,277
Transportation (Table III)	683,631	1,325,719	568,636	2,577,986
	\$ 804,770	\$ 1,550,545	\$ 706,948	\$ 3,062,263
Unspent Surtax Proceeds (Schedule II):				
Transit	\$ 682,788	\$ 650,262	\$ 716,425	
Transportation	1,914,614	1,524,372	1,784,742	
	\$ 2,597,402	\$ 2,174,634	\$ 2,501,167	
Key Account Balances as of September 30th				
CITT Special Revenue Funds (Schedule I):				
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 1,323,600	\$ 863,405	\$ 1,061,077	
Due from Miami-Dade County	\$ 304,404	\$ 314,280	\$ 340,884	
Fund Balance	\$ 1,559,345	\$ 980,493	\$ 1,306,957	

After adjusting claimed expenditures for ineligible costs of \$319,000, the Village had unspent Proceeds of \$2.5 million, as of September 30, 2018, however, *Equity in Pooled Cash and Due from Miami-Dade County* totaled only \$1.4 million. Within 60 days, the Village should either fund the \$1.1 million shortfall, or work with OCITT to develop a remedial plan. These and other findings are more fully discussed in the remainder of this Report, and the Village's Response is presented as Attachment I.

Village Management is requesting that the \$319,000 disallowed debt service costs associated with the Street Sign Replacement Project be revisited by OCITT. As documented in past Audit Reports and explained herein, the Village spent only 40% of the loan proceeds over ten years, and therefore we allowed debt service costs in direct proportion to the percentage of loan proceeds expended (Schedule III). In FY 2018, the loan proceeds used increased to 68.44% and accordingly, there was no disallowance. As such, we reaffirm the accuracy of our finding, and the audit has been closed. We appreciate the courtesies extended to our staff during the audit process. Please contact G. Nancy McKee, Assistant Director, at (786) 469-5900, if you have any questions.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Use of Transit Funds

At least 20% of the Surtax Proceeds (\$585,000) must be used for Transit-related projects, for which the Village claimed \$484,000 for its *I-Bus* Circulator System (*I-Bus*) comprising two mini-buses (Table II). The *I-Bus* operated on a fixed-route within the Village until June 11, 2018, when it began transporting commuters between the Village Park-and-Ride on SW 152nd Street and the Dadeland South Metrorail Station. The new route utilizes the County Transitway on U.S. Highway Route 1 to avoid rush hour traffic, and minimize travel time to and from the Metrorail Station. After the route changes, Ridership more than doubled during the last quarter of FY 2018.

Table II
Summary of Claimed Transit Expenditures

		Fiscal Y	ear I	inded Septe	mbe	r 30,		
Description		2016		2017		2018	A	ll Years
Driver Salaries and Benefits	\$	69,552	\$	72,261	\$	100,051	\$	241,864
Trolley Purchases		-		122,551		-		122,551
Circulator Operations and Maintenance		45,405		20,399		31,450		97,254
Administrative Costs (limited to 5% of Surtax								
Proceeds)		6,182		9,615		6,811		22,608
Total Claimed (Schedule I)		121,139		224,826		138,312		484,277
Less: Late Fees for Fuel Payments		(98)			_	(69)		(167)
Net Allowable Transit Costs (Schedule II)	\$	121,041	\$	224,826	\$	138,243	\$	484,110
Annual Circulator Ridership	_	7,032	_	5,276	_	7,469	_	19,777

Source: Village of Palmetto Bay General Ledgers

After deducting \$167 in late fuel payment penalties from claimed costs, the Village had \$716,000 in unspent Transit-related funds as of September 30, 2018 (Schedule II). As mentioned previously, CITT Resolution 09-055 allows rollover of the unspent monies for up to five years, provided the funds are appropriated in the Village's Five-Year Transportation Plan. The current Plan does not include uses for all of the unspent Proceeds, and estimated annual expenditures for Circulator operations appear to be overstated.

Recommendation

Within 60 days, the Village should submit an updated Five-Year Transportation Plan reflecting planned uses of unspent Transit Proceeds.

Use of Transportation Funds

The Village submitted \$2.6 million in claimed Transportation costs to substantiate use of the remaining 80% (\$2.3 million) in Surtax receipts (Table III). Approximately \$1.3 million was applied towards debt service costs associated with a loan used to replace Street Signs, and bond payments billed by the County for the Quality Neighborhood Improvement Program (QNIP). The QNIP Bonds were issued to fund varying drainage, sidewalk, and street resurfacing improvements made throughout the Village. However, only 39.5% of the debt service costs claimed in FYs 2016 and 2017 for the Street Signs Replacement Loan were allowed, because most of the proceeds remained unused for ten years. In FY 2018, the unspent amount decreased significantly, and thus all claimed costs were accepted (Schedule III).

Table III
Summary of Claimed Transportation Expenditures

		Fiscal Y	ear]	Ended Septe	mbe	r 30,		
Description		2016		2017		2018	A	Il Years
Debt Service - Street Sign Replacement Loan (Schedule III)	\$	266,552	\$	260,314	\$	260,245	\$	787,111
Traffic Calming		5,736		564,000		67,852		637,588
Debt Servce - County QNIP Bonds (Schedule III)		164,605		165,564		166,789		496,958
Milling, Resurfacing, and Sidewalk Improvements		91,789		283,752		8,491		384,032
Traffic Signals and Signs		125,741		13,629		6,969		146,339
Administrative Costs (limited to 5% of Surtax Proceeds)		24,728		38,460		27,246		90,434
Engineering/Architectural		4,480		-		31,044		35,524
Total Claimed (Schedule I)		683,631		1,325,719		568,636		2,577,986
Less: Debt Service - Street Sign Replacement Loan (Schedule	_	(162,597)	_	(156,084)	_			(318,681)
Net Allowable Transportation Costs (Schedule II)	\$	521,034	<u>\$</u>	1,169,635	\$	568,636	\$	2,259,305

Source: Village of Palmetto Bay General Ledgers

After reducing claimed costs for disallowed debt service, unspent Transportation-related Surtax funds totaled \$1.8 million, as of September 30, 2018 (Schedule II). These funds may be carried forward for up to five years.

Recommendation

Within 60 days, the Village should submit an updated Five-Year Transportation Plan reflecting planned uses of unspent Surtax Proceeds, per *CITT Resolution No. 09-055*.

Reporting Requirements

Quarterly uses of Surtax Proceeds reported to OCITT varied significantly from expenditures claimed during the audit period.

Recommendation

The Village Finance Department should review Quarterly Reports for accuracy prior to filing with OCITT.

CJ:bm

Attachments

c: Honorable Harvey A. Ruvin, Clerk of the Courts
Abigail Price-Williams, County Attorney
Edward Marquez, Deputy Mayor
Alina T. Hudak, Deputy Mayor
Jennifer Moon, Director, Office of Management and Budget
Edward Silva, Village Manager, Village of Palmetto Bay

Charter County Transportation System Surtax Review - Village of Palmetto Bay Transit and Transportation Special Revenue Funds - Financial Statements

Balance Sheets			
	As	of September 3	30,
Description	2016	2017	2018
Assets:			
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 1,323,600	\$ 863,405	\$ 1,061,077
Due From Miami-Dade County	304,404	314,280	340,884
Due From Other Funds	15,304	72,537	
Total Assets	\$ 1,643,308	\$ 1,250,222	<u>\$ 1,401,961</u>
Liabilities:			
Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities	\$ 45,561	\$ 102,266	\$ 85,878
Due to Other Funds	38,402	167,463	9,126
	83,963	269,729	95,004
Restricted Fund Balance	1,559,345	980,493	1,306,957
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	\$ 1,643,308	\$ 1,250,222	<u>\$ 1,401,961</u>
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures, an	d Changes in F	und Balance	
	Fiscal Ye	ar Ended Septe	ember 30,
Description	2016	2017	2018
Description Revenues:	2016	2017	2018
	2016 \$ 941,265	2017 \$ 961,500	2018 \$ 1,022,032
Revenues:			
Revenues: Surtax	\$ 941,265	\$ 961,500	\$ 1,022,032
Revenues: Surtax Interest Total Revenues	\$ 941,265 11,233	\$ 961,500 10,193	\$ 1,022,032 11,380
Revenues: Surtax Interest	\$ 941,265 11,233	\$ 961,500 10,193	\$ 1,022,032 11,380
Revenues: Surtax Interest Total Revenues Expenditures: Transit (Table II) Transportation (Table III):	\$ 941,265 11,233 952,498	\$ 961,500 10,193 971,693	\$ 1,022,032
Revenues: Surtax Interest Total Revenues Expenditures: Transit (Table II) Transportation (Table III): Road and Sidewalk Costs	\$ 941,265 11,233 952,498	\$ 961,500 10,193 971,693	\$ 1,022,032 11,380 1,033,412
Revenues: Surtax Interest Total Revenues Expenditures: Transit (Table II) Transportation (Table III): Road and Sidewalk Costs Debt Service:	\$ 941,265 11,233 952,498 121,139 252,474	\$ 961,500 10,193 971,693 224,826 899,841	\$ 1,022,032
Revenues: Surtax Interest Total Revenues Expenditures: Transit (Table II) Transportation (Table III): Road and Sidewalk Costs Debt Service: Street Sign Replacement Loan	\$ 941,265 11,233 952,498 121,139 252,474 266,552	\$ 961,500 10,193 971,693 224,826 899,841 260,314	\$ 1,022,032
Revenues: Surtax Interest Total Revenues Expenditures: Transit (Table II) Transportation (Table III): Road and Sidewalk Costs Debt Service:	\$ 941,265 11,233 952,498 121,139 252,474 266,552 164,605	\$ 961,500 10,193 971,693 224,826 899,841 260,314 165,564	\$ 1,022,032 11,380 1,033,412 138,312 141,602 260,245 166,789
Revenues: Surtax Interest Total Revenues Expenditures: Transit (Table II) Transportation (Table III): Road and Sidewalk Costs Debt Service: Street Sign Replacement Loan Quality Neighborhood Improvement Program Bonds	\$ 941,265 11,233 952,498 121,139 252,474 266,552 164,605 683,631	\$ 961,500 10,193 971,693 224,826 899,841 260,314 165,564 1,325,719	\$ 1,022,032 11,380 1,033,412 138,312 141,602 260,245 166,789 568,636
Revenues: Surtax Interest Total Revenues Expenditures: Transit (Table II) Transportation (Table III): Road and Sidewalk Costs Debt Service: Street Sign Replacement Loan Quality Neighborhood Improvement Program Bonds Total Expenditures	\$ 941,265 11,233 952,498 121,139 252,474 266,552 164,605 683,631 804,770	\$ 961,500 10,193 971,693 224,826 899,841 260,314 165,564 1,325,719 1,550,545	\$ 1,022,032
Revenues: Surtax Interest Total Revenues Expenditures: Transit (Table II) Transportation (Table III): Road and Sidewalk Costs Debt Service: Street Sign Replacement Loan Quality Neighborhood Improvement Program Bonds Total Expenditures Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues over Expenditures	\$ 941,265 11,233 952,498 121,139 252,474 266,552 164,605 683,631 804,770 147,728	\$ 961,500 10,193 971,693 224,826 899,841 260,314 165,564 1,325,719 1,550,545 (578,852)	\$ 1,022,032 11,380 1,033,412 138,312 141,602 260,245 166,789 568,636 706,948 326,464
Revenues: Surtax Interest Total Revenues Expenditures: Transit (Table II) Transportation (Table III): Road and Sidewalk Costs Debt Service: Street Sign Replacement Loan Quality Neighborhood Improvement Program Bonds Total Expenditures	\$ 941,265 11,233 952,498 121,139 252,474 266,552 164,605 683,631 804,770	\$ 961,500 10,193 971,693 224,826 899,841 260,314 165,564 1,325,719 1,550,545	\$ 1,022,032

Source: Village of Palmetto Bay General Ledgers

Charter County Transportation System Surtax Review - Village of Palmetto Bay Surtax Proceeds Usage Analysis

科学之中已经是这些主题的主要和推荐的 国		Fiscal Y	ear	Ended Sept	emb	er 30,		
Description		2016		2017		2018		All Years
Receipts (Schedule I):								
Surtax Proceeds ¹	\$	941,265	\$	961,500	\$	1,022,032	\$	2,924,797
Interest Income		11,233	_	10,193		11,380		32,806
·	\$	952,498	\$	971,693	\$	1,033,412	\$	2,957,603
Surtax Uses:								
Eligible Transit Expenditures (Table II)	\$	121,041	\$	224,826	\$	138,243	\$	484,110
Eligible Transportation Expenditures (Table III)	\$	521,034	\$	1,169,635	\$	568,636	\$	2,259,305
AMS Analysis:								
Transit-Related Expenditures:							T.	
Expenditures Available for Surtax Use	\$	121,041	\$	224,826	\$	138,243	\$	484,110
Less 20% Minimum Amount ²	_	(188,253)	_	(192,300)	-	(204,406)		(584,959)
(Increase) Decrease in Rollover Amounts	\$	(67,212)	\$	32,526	\$	(66,163)	\$	(100,849)
Analysis of Unspent Rollover:								
Beginning Balance ³	\$	615,576	\$	682,788	\$	650,262	\$	615,576
Increase (Decrease) In Unspent Amounts	_	67,212	-	(32,526)		66,163		100,849
Remaining Unspent Amount	<u>\$</u>	682,788	\$	650,262	\$	716,425	\$	716,425
Transportation-Related Expenditures:								
Expenditures Available for Surtax Use	\$	521,034	\$	1,169,635	\$	568,636	\$	2,259,305
Less Remaining 80% Amount ²		(753,012)		(769,200)		(817,626)		(2,339,838)
Less Interest Income		(11,233)		(10,193)		(11,380)	_	(32,806)
(Increase) Decrease in Rollover Amounts	\$	(243,211)	\$	390,242	\$	(260,370)	\$	(113,339)
Analysis of Unspent Rollover:								
Beginning Balance ³	\$	1,671,403	\$	1,914,614	\$	1,524,372	\$	1,671,403
Increase (Decrease) In Rollover Amounts		243,211	_	(390,242)		260,370		113,339
Remaining Unspent Amount	\$	1,914,614	\$	1,524,372	\$	1,784,742	\$	1,784,742

¹ Amounts do not agree with Schedule IV due to timing differences.

² At least 20% of the Surtax Proceeds must be used on Transit-related projects, such as circulator buses, and the remaining funds (80%) are earmarked for eligible Transportation projects.

³ Per the November 30, 2016 Audit Report.

Charter County Transportation System Surtax Review - Village of Palmetto Bay Summary of Allowable Debt Service Costs

	Minister, No. 1841				Fiscal Ye	Fiscal Year Ended September 30	ember 30,					
Description	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	All Years
Street Sign Replacement Debt Issued/Remaining Balance	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,500,000 \$ 1,753,985		\$ 1,596,192	\$ 1,581,289	\$ 1,565,497	\$ 1.524.994	\$ 1.524.994	\$ 1,697,782 \$ 1,596,192 \$ 1,581,289 \$ 1,565,497 \$ 1,524,994 \$ 1,524,994	\$ 1 574 994	\$ 1 499 083	
Proceeds Expended	(746,015)	(56,203)	(101,590)	(14,903)	(15,792)	(40,503)			,	(25,911)	(710,119)	1000
Unspent Proceeds	\$ 1,753,985	\$ 1,753,985 \$ 1,697,782	\$ 1,596,192	\$ 1,581,289	\$ 1,565,497	\$ 1,524,994	\$ 1,524,994	\$ 1,524,994	\$ 1,524,994	\$ 1,499,083	\$ 788,964	
% of Unspent Proceeds	70.16%	67.91%	63.85%	63.25%	62.62%	61.00%	61.00%	61.00%	61.00%	l		
AMS Analysis:												A CONTRACTOR
Principal	\$ 166,796 \$	\$ 173,388	\$ 180,250	\$ 187,383	\$ 194,798	\$ 202,507 \$	\$ 210,521 \$	\$ 218,852	\$ 227,513	\$ 236,517	69	245,877 \$ 2,244,402
Interest	102,664	88,442	81,560	86,119	65,727	58,028	49,924	35,248	39,039			644,916
Total Debt Service (Table III)	269,460	261,830	261,810	273,502	260,525	260,535	260,445	254,100	266,552	260,314	260,245	2,889,318
Disallowed Amount Attributable to Unspent Proceeds (Table III) ²	(189,053)	(177,809)	(167,165)	(172,990)	(163,141)	(158,926)	(158,871)	(155,001)	(162,597)	(156,084)		(1,661,637)
Allowable Debt Service - Street Sign Replacement Loan	\$ 80,407	\$ 84,021	\$ 94,645	\$ 100,512	\$ 97,384	\$ 101,609	\$ 101,574	\$ 99,099	\$ 103,955	\$ 104,230	\$ 260,245	\$ 1,227,681
					The second secon							

The Village had a substantial amount of unused Debt Proceeds, and therefore cannot claim 100% as allowable uses of Surrax monies. The disallowed amount is calculated as Debt Service multiplied by the percentage of Unspent Proceeds in each year through FY 2018, Source: Village of Palmetto Bay Audited Financial Statements and General Ledgers

1 The Village of Palmetto Bay arctered into a \$2.5 million Loan Agreement with Regions Bank on July 3, 2007 to fund street and bus sign replacements that cost significantly less than initially planned, resulting in a substantial unspent loan balance.

1 The Village of Palmetto Bay cartered into a \$2.5 million Loan Agreement with Regions Bank on July 3, 2007 to fund street and bus sign replacements that cost significant expenditures were made until Fiscal Year (FY) 2018.

On July 11, 2013, the Village passed Ordinance 2013-06 to repurpose the debt to include other transportation-related costs; however, no significant expenditures were made until Fiscal Year (FY) 2018. when a significant amount of Proceeds was spent.

							Fiscal Year E	r Ended Sept	ember 30,						
	2008 and										THE REAL PROPERTY.				
Description	Prior	2009	2010		2011		2012	2013	2014	2015		2016	2017	2018	All Years
Village Share of County QNIP Bonds: 1															
Total Debt Service	\$ 1,105,817	\$ 190,490	69	\$ 659	190,659 \$ 190,943 \$	69	173,047	\$ 174,033	\$ 173,047 \$ 174,033 \$ 175,369 \$ 175,546 \$ 175,860 \$ 176,885 \$	\$ 175,	546 \$	175,860	\$ 176,88	5 \$ 178,15	\$ 178,193 \$ 2,906,842
Amount Attributable to Ineligible Park Projects at 6.4% ²	(70,772)	(12,191)	(12,2	(12,202)	(12,220)		(11,075)	(11,138)	(11,224)	(11,	(11,235)	(11,255)	(11,321)	(11,404)	(186,037)
Allowable Debt Service - County QNIP Bonds (Table III)	\$ 1,035,045	\$ 178,299	69	157	178,723	8	161,972	\$ 162,895	178,457 \$ 178,723 \$ 161,972 \$ 162,895 \$ 164,145 \$ 164,311 \$ 164,605 \$	\$ 164,	311 \$	164,605	\$ 165,50	S	\$ 2

Source: Village of Palmetto Bay Audited Financial Statements and General Ledgers

¹ The Village of Palmetto Bay is required to pay Minmi-Dade County its allocated share of principal and interest relating to Quality Neighborhood Improvement Program (QNIP) Bonds, Series 1999, that were issued prior to the Village's incorporation.

The Village's pro rata share of ebbt service costs is approximately 3.6%, and is payable annually through 2024. The underlying costs consist of drainage, resurfacing, and sidewalk projects, which are eligible for Surtax use, as well as park-related amounts that are not allowable.

² Irrigation and parking projects at Coral Reef Park are not allowable uses of Surtax funds; therefore, the percentage of those expenditures (6.4%) has been applied to reduce annual QNIP debt service.

Charter County Transportation System Surtax Review Summary of Payments to Municipalities

Municipality 2003 to 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 All Year Ended September 30,
City of Hialeah 68,960,371 8,118,432 8,457,199 8,983,772 9,243,159 9,346,775 9,873,020 122,98 City of Miami Beach 27,484,782 3,166,271 3,351,080 3,552,358 3,670,335 3,678,499 3,925,123 48,822 City of Miami Gardens 2 10,352,937 3,837,951 3,985,238 4,199,539 4,336,722 4,409,954 4,737,286 35,85 City of North Miami 17,943,986 2,107,503 2,212,494 2,328,969 2,454,241 2,473,804 2,665,998 32,18 City of Homestead 13,789,737 2,204,159 2,354,015 2,519,902 2,669,792 2,788,854 2,969,698 29,29 City of Coral Gables 13,391,235 1,685,507 1,781,041 1,897,394 1,955,854 1,981,236 2,091,593 24,78 City of North Miami Beach 12,435,165 1,493,737 1,566,354 1,659,574 1,733,203 1,746,039 1,882,765 22,51 City of Aventura 8,891,581 1,280,248 1,385,071 1,436,028 1,494,036 1,502,980 1,590,870 17,58 City of Doral 2 3,642,653 1,667,049 1,767,800 1,925,900 2,120,606 2,232,433 2,508,437 15,86 Town of Miami Lakes 1 7,766,182 1,052,533 1,095,293 1,172,205 1,209,319 1,211,635 1,288,227 14,79
City of Miami Beach 27,484,782 3,166,271 3,351,080 3,552,358 3,670,335 3,678,499 3,925,123 48,82 City of Miami Gardens 2 10,352,937 3,837,951 3,985,238 4,199,539 4,336,722 4,409,954 4,737,286 35,85 City of North Miami 17,943,986 2,107,503 2,212,494 2,328,969 2,454,241 2,473,804 2,665,998 32,18 City of Homestead 13,789,737 2,204,159 2,354,015 2,519,902 2,669,792 2,788,854 2,969,698 29,29 City of Coral Gables 13,391,235 1,685,507 1,781,041 1,897,394 1,955,854 1,981,236 2,091,593 24,78 City of North Miami Beach 12,435,165 1,493,737 1,566,354 1,659,574 1,733,203 1,746,039 1,882,765 22,51 City of Aventura 8,891,581 1,280,248 1,385,071 1,436,028 1,494,036 1,502,980 1,590,870 17,58 City of Doral 2 3,642,653 1,667,049 1,767,800 1,925,900 2,120,606 2,232,433 2,508,437 15,86 Town of Miami Lakes 1 7,766,182 1,052,533 1,095,293 1,172,205 1,209,319 1,211,635 1,288,227 14,79
City of Miami Gardens ² 10,352,937 3,837,951 3,985,238 4,199,539 4,336,722 4,409,954 4,737,286 35,85 City of North Miami 17,943,986 2,107,503 2,212,494 2,328,969 2,454,241 2,473,804 2,665,998 32,18 City of Homestead 13,789,737 2,204,159 2,354,015 2,519,902 2,669,792 2,788,854 2,969,698 29,29 City of Coral Gables 13,391,235 1,685,507 1,781,041 1,897,394 1,955,854 1,981,236 2,091,593 24,78 City of North Miami Beach 12,435,165 1,493,737 1,566,354 1,659,574 1,733,203 1,746,039 1,882,765 22,51 City of Aventura 8,891,581 1,280,248 1,385,071 1,436,028 1,494,036 1,502,980 1,590,870 17,58 City of Doral ² 3,642,653 1,667,049 1,767,800 1,925,900 2,120,606 2,232,433 2,508,437 15,86 Town of Miami Lakes ¹ 7,766,182 1,052,533 1,095,293 1,172,205 1,209,319 1,211,635 1,288,227 14,79
City of North Miami 17,943,986 2,107,503 2,212,494 2,328,969 2,454,241 2,473,804 2,665,998 32,18 City of Homestead 13,789,737 2,204,159 2,354,015 2,519,902 2,669,792 2,788,854 2,969,698 29,29 City of Coral Gables 13,391,235 1,685,507 1,781,041 1,897,394 1,955,854 1,981,236 2,091,593 24,78 City of North Miami Beach 12,435,165 1,493,737 1,566,354 1,659,574 1,733,203 1,746,039 1,882,765 22,51 City of Aventura 8,891,581 1,280,248 1,385,071 1,436,028 1,494,036 1,502,980 1,590,870 17,58 City of Doral ² 3,642,653 1,667,049 1,767,800 1,925,900 2,120,606 2,232,433 2,508,437 15,86 Town of Miami Lakes ¹ 7,766,182 1,052,533 1,095,293 1,172,205 1,209,319 1,211,635 1,288,227 14,79
City of Homestead 13,789,737 2,204,159 2,354,015 2,519,902 2,669,792 2,788,854 2,969,698 29,29 City of Coral Gables 13,391,235 1,685,507 1,781,041 1,897,394 1,955,854 1,981,236 2,091,593 24,78 City of North Miami Beach 12,435,165 1,493,737 1,566,354 1,659,574 1,733,203 1,746,039 1,882,765 22,51 City of Aventura 8,891,581 1,280,248 1,385,071 1,436,028 1,494,036 1,502,980 1,590,870 17,58 City of Doral 2 3,642,653 1,667,049 1,767,800 1,925,900 2,120,606 2,232,433 2,508,437 15,86 Town of Miami Lakes 1 7,766,182 1,052,533 1,095,293 1,172,205 1,209,319 1,211,635 1,288,227 14,79
City of Coral Gables 13,391,235 1,685,507 1,781,041 1,897,394 1,955,854 1,981,236 2,091,593 24,78 City of North Miami Beach 12,435,165 1,493,737 1,566,354 1,659,574 1,733,203 1,746,039 1,882,765 22,51 City of Aventura 8,891,581 1,280,248 1,385,071 1,436,028 1,494,036 1,502,980 1,590,870 17,58 City of Doral ² 3,642,653 1,667,049 1,767,800 1,925,900 2,120,606 2,232,433 2,508,437 15,86 Town of Miami Lakes ¹ 7,766,182 1,052,533 1,095,293 1,172,205 1,209,319 1,211,635 1,288,227 14,79
City of North Miami Beach 12,435,165 1,493,737 1,566,354 1,659,574 1,733,203 1,746,039 1,882,765 22,51 City of Aventura 8,891,581 1,280,248 1,385,071 1,436,028 1,494,036 1,502,980 1,590,870 17,58 City of Doral 2 3,642,653 1,667,049 1,767,800 1,925,900 2,120,606 2,232,433 2,508,437 15,86 Town of Miami Lakes 1 7,766,182 1,052,533 1,095,293 1,172,205 1,209,319 1,211,635 1,288,227 14,79
City of Aventura 8,891,581 1,280,248 1,385,071 1,436,028 1,494,036 1,502,980 1,590,870 17,58 City of Doral ² 3,642,653 1,667,049 1,767,800 1,925,900 2,120,606 2,232,433 2,508,437 15,86 Town of Miami Lakes ¹ 7,766,182 1,052,533 1,095,293 1,172,205 1,209,319 1,211,635 1,288,227 14,79
City of Doral ² 3,642,653 1,667,049 1,767,800 1,925,900 2,120,606 2,232,433 2,508,437 15,86 Town of Miami Lakes ¹ 7,766,182 1,052,533 1,095,293 1,172,205 1,209,319 1,211,635 1,288,227 14,79
Town of Miami Lakes 1 7,766,182 1,052,533 1,095,293 1,172,205 1,209,319 1,211,635 1,288,227 14,79
1,211,000
Town of Cutler Bay 2 3,886,873 1,453,608 1,541,361 1,643,660 1,721,858 1,769,140 1,899,220 13,91
Village of Palmetto Bay 7,423,535 841,373 879,379 930,008 952,951 956,304 1,013,542 12,99
City of Hialeah Gardens 6,178,662 780,808 816,410 859,975 904,713 922,372 986,599 11,44
City of Sunny Isles Beach 5,376,896 752,852 795,768 834,090 869,990 866,019 933,221 10,42
Village of Pinecrest ³ 5,225,789 1,205,816 686,122 723,235 737,876 738,316 777,521 10,09
City of Miami Springs 4,113,990 496,146 522,095 550,052 562,418 565,087 601,223 7,41
City of Opa-locka 5 4,640,264 552,018 580,600 556,122 90,323 195,000 210,000 6,82
City of South Miami 3,280,419 443,069 504,946 538,750 546,219 547,720 546,151 6,40
Village of Key Biscayne 3,415,345 443,069 461,282 489,679 502,197 508,734 540,692 6,366
City of Sweetwater 1 4,077,699 390,842 326,534 275,281 273,778 133,332 466,662 5,94-
City of Florida City 2,794,031 419,450 440,752 477,906 498,385 504,443 542,769 5,67
Miami Shores Village 3,145,976 368,810 384,663 408,970 419,557 420,697 443,831 5,595
North Bay Village 1,965,557 263,374 279,848 299,797 314,789 328,007 378,523 3,82:
City of West Miami 1,770,807 214,600 224,057 235,786 241,053 241,373 279,166 3,200
Town of Surfside 1,666,675 206,033 214,832 226,558 229,428 228,739 234,500 3,000
Town of Bay Harbor Islands 1,566,561 201,912 214,053 227,105 231,953 222,682 234,375 2,898
Village of Biscayne Park 994,312 110,094 115,264 122,506 125,900 126,220 135,905 1,730
Bal Harbour Village 948,405 89,667 110,690 113,983 114,472 111,421 114,881 1,603
Village of El Portal 4 751,852 83,900 87,815 88,880 6,467 174,873 90,094 1,283
Village of Virginia Gardens 704,328 85,654 89,044 94,354 96,229 96,903 102,910 1,269
Town of Medley 333,660 29,889 31,913 33,823 34,442 33,529 35,277 532
Town of Golden Beach 1 262,985 33,042 34,366 35,427 36,766 37,221 39,423 475
Indian Creek Village 3,604
\$\\\ 366,036,017 \ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\

Source: Office of the Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust (OCITT) and the County's Financial Accounting Management Information System

Amounts are net of withholdings of \$76,553 from the Town of Miami Lakes, \$1.6 million from the City of Sweetwater, \$21,058 from the Town of Golden Beach, and \$2.5 million from the City of Miami, due to specific instances of noncompliance.

² Pursuant to the respective Interlocal and/or Settlement Agreements, these Municipalities, which were incorporated after November 2002, are receiving a share of the County's Surtax Proceeds consistent with the other Municipal distributions.

In FY 2009, \$551,589 was returned by the Village of Pinecrest for their unspent Transit portion of funding as of September 30, 2007. In FY 2013, OCITT returned the monies to the Village.

⁴ Amount in FY 2016 is net of \$84,069 withheld due to instances of noncompliance, which was returned in FY 2017 by OCITT.

S A total of \$1.7 million is being withheld from the City of Opa-locka due to instances of noncompliance.

Charter County Transportation System Surtax Review – Village of Palmetto Bay Status of Prior Audit Findings ¹

Finding	Recommendation	Auditee Response	Current Status
Use of Transit Funds			
Annual Circulator ridership has steadily declined since Fiscal Year (FY) 2011, and \$616,000 of Transit-related Proceeds were unspent as of September 30, 2015.	Unless ridership improves, the continued use of Surtax proceeds to fund the Circulator should be reassessed. The Village should submit an updated Five-Year Transportation Plan reflecting how the unspent Transit Proceeds will be utilized.	The Village worked with a consultant to review the transit system and make recommendations for improvement.	Ridership improved after the Circulator was re-routed to transport commuters to the Dadeland South Metrorail Station in June 2018. However, unspent Transit Proceeds increased to \$716,000 as of September 30, 2018 (see Page 3).
Use of Transportation Funds			
The Village claimed debt service for a Street Sign Replacement Loan, as well as QNIP Bonds, totaling \$4.2 million. \$1.5 million was disallowed for unspent loan proceeds, as well as an ineligible portion of QNIP expenditures. Unspent Transportation funds totaled \$1.7 million as of September 30, 2015.	The Village should ensure that claimed costs are allowable under Surtax guidelines. A revised Five-Year Transportation Plan should specify how rollover funds will be used.	The Village disagreed with the reduction of allowable debt service due to unused proceeds, but included plans to spend the remaining funds within five years. The ineligible portion of QNIP debt service was refunded to the Transportation Special Revenue Fund.	Partially Resolved QNIP debt service was correctly claimed, net of the ineligible portion. However, \$319,000 of Street Sign Replacement debt service was disallowed, as the majority of proceeds received in FY 2007 were not spent until FY 2018. Additionally, unspent Transportation Proceeds increased to \$1.8 million as of September 30, 2018 (see Page 4).
Reporting Requirements			
The Village submitted eight Quarterly and four Annual Reports to OCITT after the required deadlines, and amounts presented in the Quarterly Reports did not always agree with actual expenditures.	Prospectively, the Village should submit all reports to OCITT accurately and timely.	The Village stated that OCITT deadlines for filing Annual Reports were in conflict with Florida Statutes.	Partially Resolved Quarterly uses of Surtax Proceeds reported to OCITT significantly varied from expenditures claimed (see Page 5).

¹ See the Audit Report dated November 30, 2016 and the Village Response dated December 11, 2017 for the full text.



VILLAGE OF PALMETTO BAY

May 15, 2019

Mr. Javier Betancourt Executive Director Office of the Citizen's Independent Transportation Trust (CITT) 111 NW 1st St. Miami, FL 33128

RE: 2018 Audit Response

Dear Mr. Betancourt:

The Village of Palmetto Bay (The Village) would like to thank the Miami-Dade Audit and Management Services Department (AMS) for the role they play in ensuring your work of managing these funds are spent appropriately. AMS's work is mostly looked upon negatively and sometimes thankless.

The Village has reconciled AMS's numbers as presented, but would like to make two comments as to their findings:

- 1: The shortfall of \$1.1 million in their ending cash/fund balances from the Summary Results Table I and Schedule II, is a carryover from their 2015 audit and assumes The Village funded this shortfall, which was a result of their finding on the Street Sign loan. The Village and CITT agreed in the letter dated December 11, 2017 (attached) that the shortfall was waived if The Village pledged and spent the proceeds on the Franjo Road redevelopment project. The Village is still within the estimated time period stated and has spent approximately half of the proceeds to date.
- 2: The current audit also recommends disallowing \$319,000 related to the Street Sign Ioan. The Village is also requesting to waive the \$319,000 as The Village is still within the agreed time period to spend funds as stated above. However due to a change in contractors the project was delayed in starting and is requesting an additional six (6) months to complete the project and expend the funds totally.

The Village has reproduced AMS's Schedule II (attached) comparing their results with The Village but with The Village's 2015 ending balance without the \$1.1

million from the prior audit and it shows The Village should have a current ending balance of approximately \$1.6 million not the \$2.5 million they show. If adjustments are made for current disallowances the audited numbers would essentially reconcile to The Village's balance as shown on page 6 of our CITT trial balance report (attached).

Additionally, the is a small discrepancy of \$167 for late fees in Table II which The Village will refund.

Thank you for your continued cooperation between the CITT and The Village on improving transportation through out the Village and County.

Respectfully,

Edward Silva Village Manager